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#### Abstract

In this paper we study a class of nonlinear elliptic problems involving the $p(x)$ Laplacian operator. Under some additional assumptions on the nonlinearities, the corresponding functional verifies the Palais-Smale condition. So, we can use the Mountain Pass Theorem to prove the existence of nontrivial solution.
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## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to prove some existence results for nonlinear elliptic problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{p(x)} u & =\lambda V(x)|u|^{q(x)-2} u+f(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{1.1}\\
& u \geq 0, u \neq 0, u \in W
\end{align*}\right.
$$

$\Delta_{p(x)}$ is so-called $p(x)$-Laplacian operator i.e. $\Delta_{p(x)} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)$. In the case $p(x)=p$, then $\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)$ is well-known $p$-Laplacian and the problem is the usual $p$-Laplacian equation. $f$ is real-valued function with domain $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} ; u$ is unknown real valued function defined in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and belonging to appropriate function spaces; $\lambda$ is positive parameter; $p$ and $q$ are reals functions satisfying $p(x), q(x) \in C_{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Problems involving the $p(x)$-Laplacian operator arise from many branches of mathematics as in the study of elastic mechanics (see [22]), electrorheological fluids (see [1], [7]), (see [17]) or image restoration (see [6]).

Let the eigenvalue problem involving variable exponent growth conditions intensively studied is the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p(x)} u=\lambda V(x)|u|^{q(x)-2} u, \text { in } \Omega . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, n \geq 3$, with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$,
In [21] the author studied the problem (1.2) in bounded domain where $V(x)=1$, under the assumption $1<\min _{\bar{\Omega}} q(x)<\min _{\bar{\Omega}} p(x)<\max _{\bar{\Omega}} q(x)$, the continuous spectrum is proved.

However [18] the author established in bounded domain, using the simple variational arguments based on the Ekeland's principle, that there exists $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$ is an eigenvalue for the above problem.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we recall some previous results. In Section 2, we state some basic results for the variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces, which are given in Fan and Zhao (see [11]), O. Kovăcĩk, J. Răkosnĩk (see [19]). In Section 3, we give sufficient conditions on $V$ and $f$ to obtain the existence of solution for the problem (1.1) above.

## 2. Preliminary results

We recall some background facts concerning the generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces and introduce some notations used below.
Let

$$
C_{+}(\Omega)=\{p \in C(\Omega): p(x)>1, \text { for every } x \in \Omega\}
$$

$p^{+}=\max \{p(x) \in \Omega\}$ et $p^{-}=\min \{p(x) \in \Omega\}$ for every $p \in C_{+}(\Omega)$.
Denote by $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ the set of measurable real-valued functions defined on $\Omega$.

We introduce for $p \in C_{+}(\Omega)$, the space

$$
L^{p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega) \text { such that, } \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x<+\infty\right\}
$$

equipped with the so called Luxemburg norm

$$
|u|_{p(x), \Omega}=\inf \left\{t>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{t}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

In what follow $|u|_{p(x)}$ will denote $|u|_{p(x), \mathbb{R}^{N}}$. It is well-know that this norm confers a reflexive Banach structure.

Define the variable exponent Sobolev space $W$ the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ under the norm

$$
\|u\|_{p(x)}=|\nabla u|_{p(x)} .
$$

Moreover, we recall some previous results.
Proposition 2.1. ([8]) If $p \in C_{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, then $L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ are separable and reflexive Banach spaces.

Proposition 2.2. ([8]) The topological dual space of $L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is $L^{p^{\prime}(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, where

$$
\frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}(x)}=1 .
$$

Moreover for any $(u, v) \in L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times L^{p^{\prime}(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we have

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u v d x\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{-}}\right)|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{p^{\prime}(x)} \leq 2|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{p^{\prime}(x)} .
$$

Let us now define the modular corresponding to the norm $|.|_{p(x)}$ by

$$
\rho(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p(x)} d x .
$$

Proposition 2.3. ([11],[19]) For all $u \in L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we have

$$
\min \left\{|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}},|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}\right\} \leq \rho(u) \leq \max \left\{|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}},|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}\right\} .
$$

In addition, we have
(i) $|u|_{p(x)}<1($ resp. $=1 ;>1) \Leftrightarrow \rho(u)<1$ (resp. $=1 ;>1$ ),
(ii) $|u|_{p(x)}>1 \Longrightarrow|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}} \leq \rho(u) \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}$,
(iii) $|u|_{p(x)}>1 \Longrightarrow|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}} \leq \rho(u) \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}}$,
(iv) $\rho\left(\frac{u}{|u|_{p(x)}}\right)=1$.

Proposition 2.4. ([8]) Let $p(x)$ and $s(x)$ be measurable functions such that $p(x) \in$ $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $1 \leq p(x) s(x) \leq \infty$ almost every where in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. If $u \in L^{s(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, $u \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
|u|_{p(x) s(x)} \leq 1 \Longrightarrow|u|_{p(x) s(x)}^{p^{-}} \leq\left||u|^{p(x)}\right|_{s(x)} \leq|u|_{p(x) s(x)}^{p^{+}}, \\
|u|_{p(x) s(x)} \geq 1 \Longrightarrow|u|_{p(x) s(x)}^{p^{+}} \leq\left||u|^{p(x)}\right|_{s(x)} \leq|u|_{p(x) s(x)}^{p^{-}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

In particular, if $p(x)=p$ is a constant, then

$$
\left||u|^{p}\right|_{s(x)}=|u|_{p s(x)}^{p} .
$$

Proposition 2.5. ([11]) If $u, u_{n} \in L^{p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), n=1,2, \ldots$, then the following statements are mutually equivalent:
(1) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|u_{n}-u\right|_{p(x)}=0$,
(2) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(u_{n}-u\right)=0$,
(3) $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in measure in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(u_{n}\right)=\rho(u)$.

Let $p^{*}(x)$ be the critical Sobolev exponent of $p(x)$ defined by

$$
p^{*}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{N p(x)}{N-p(x)} & \text { for } p(x)<N \\ +\infty & \text { for } p(x) \geq N\end{cases}
$$

and let $C^{0,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be the Lipschitz-continuous functions space.
Proposition 2.6. ([11],[9]) If $p(x) \in C_{+}^{0,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, then there exists a positive constant $c$ such that

$$
|u|_{p^{*}(x)} \leq c_{p(x)}|\nabla u|_{p(x)}, \quad \text { for all } u \in W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

Proposition 2.7. ([9]) 1) If $s \in L_{+}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $p(x) \leq s(x) \ll p^{*}(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, then the embedding

$$
W^{1, p(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{s(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

is continuous but not compact.
2) If $p$ is continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$ and $s$ is a measurable function on $\Omega$, with $p(x) \leq s(x)<$ $p^{*}(x), \forall x \in \Omega$, then the embedding

$$
W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{s(x)}(\Omega)
$$

is compact.

## 3. Main result and proof

Definition 3.1. $u \in W$ is a weak solution of (1.1) iffor all $v \in W$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)|u|^{q(x)-2} u v d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x, u) v d x=0,
$$

The present paper is studied under the following hypotheses. Put $F(x, u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(x, t) d t$.
(H1) We suppose that the functions $p, q$ are continuous and satisfy $p(x)<N$, along with $1<p^{-}<p^{+}<q^{-}<q^{+} \leq p^{*}(x)$. In particular, $p$ verifies the weak Lipschitz condition, namely, $|p(x)-p(y)| \leq \frac{c}{|\log | x-y| |}$ holds for $|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(H2) We assume $f: \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a the Caratheodory function and satisfies $f \in$ $C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and

$$
|f(x, u)| \leq a(x)|u|^{\frac{p(x)}{\alpha(x)}}, \forall(x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R} .
$$

Here $a \in L^{\alpha(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, is nonnegative mesurable function, along with $\frac{1}{\alpha(x)}+$ $\frac{1}{p(x)}=1$.
(H3) Suppose that $0 \leq \theta F(x, u) \leq u f(x, u)$, such that $p^{+}<\theta<q^{-}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(H4) The potential $V \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{r(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is nonnegative, and $\frac{1}{r(x)}+\frac{1}{q(x)}=1$.
Remark 3.2. As in [3] the hypothesis (H3) implies that, for all $t>1, F(x, t u) \geq$ $t^{\theta} F(x, u)$. Moreover, in vew of (H1), $W=W^{1, p(x)}$.

The main result for this paper is given by the following theorem.
Theorem 3.3. If the hypotheses $(\mathrm{H} 1)-(\mathrm{H} 4)$ fulfilled, then the problem (1.1) has a nontrivial weak solution for all $\lambda>0$.

We need some lemmas to prove main result. The energy functional corresponding to problem (1.1) is defined by

$$
J_{\lambda}(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \lambda \frac{V(x)}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} F(x, u) d x
$$

and we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x \\
\psi(u) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{V(x)}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x \\
K(u) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} F(x, u) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.4. The functional $J_{\lambda}$ is well defined and $C^{1}(W, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover

$$
\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v-\lambda V(x)|u|^{q(x)-2} u v\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x, u) v d x .
$$

By (H2) togheter with (H4), it is easy to see that $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ belongs to the topological dual of $W$.

Lemma 3.5. There exists positives constants $R$ and $\rho$ such that $J_{\lambda}(u) \geq \rho$ on $\|u\|_{p(x)}=$ $R$.

Proof. By the Hölder inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|F(x, u)| d x & \left.\leq\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\frac{a(x)}{q(x)}\right| u\right|^{q(x)} \right\rvert\, d x \\
& \leq\left.\left.\frac{2}{q^{-}}|a|_{\alpha(x)}| | u\right|^{q(x)}\right|_{p(x)} \\
& \leq \frac{2 c_{1}}{q^{-}}|a|_{\alpha(x)}\|u\|_{p(x)}^{q^{i}}, \\
i & =+ \text { if }\|u\|_{p(x)}>1, \text { and } i=- \text { if }\|u\|_{p(x)}<1
\end{aligned}
$$

and we are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{V(x)}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x & \leq\left.\left.\frac{2}{q^{-}}|V|_{r(x)}| | u\right|^{q(x)}\right|_{r^{\prime}(x)} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{q^{-}}|V|_{r(x)}|u|_{q(x) r^{\prime}(x)}^{q^{i}} \\
& \leq \frac{2 c_{2}}{q^{-}}|V|_{r(x)}\|u\|_{p(x)}^{q^{i}}, \\
i & =+ \text { if }\|u\|_{p(x)}>1, \text { and } i=- \text { if }\|u\|_{p(x)}<1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}(u) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)}-\lambda \frac{V(x)}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)}\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} F(x, u) d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x-\frac{2 \lambda c_{2}}{q^{-}}|V|_{r(x)}\|u\|_{p(x)}^{q^{i}}-\frac{2 c_{1}}{q^{-}}|a|_{\alpha(x)}\|u\|_{p(x)}^{q^{i}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}}\|u\|_{p(x)}^{p^{i}}-\frac{2 \lambda c_{2}}{q^{-}}|V|_{r(x)}\|u\|_{p(x)}^{q^{i}}-\frac{2 c_{1}}{q^{-}}|a|_{\alpha(x)}\|u\|_{p(x)}^{q^{i}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}}\|u\|_{p(x)}^{p^{i}}-\left(\frac{2 \lambda c_{2}}{q^{-}}|V|_{r(x)}+\frac{2 c_{1}}{q^{-}}|a|_{\alpha(x)}\right)\|u\|_{p(x)}^{q^{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are positives constants. So, for all $\lambda>0$, and $u \in W$ with $\|u\|_{p(x)}=R$ sufficiently small, there exists $\rho>0$ such that

$$
J_{\lambda}(u) \geq \rho>0
$$

Lemma 3.6. There exists $e \in W$ with $\|e\|_{p(x)}>R$ such that $J_{\lambda}(e)<0$.
Proof. Choose $u_{0} \in W,\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{p(x)}>1$. For $t$ large enough we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}\left(t u_{0}\right) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla t u_{0}\right|^{p(x)}-\lambda \frac{V(x)}{q(x)}\left|t u_{0}\right|^{q(x)}\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} F\left(x, t u_{0}\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p^{-}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla t u_{0}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\lambda \frac{1}{q^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} V(x)\left|t u_{0}\right|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \leq \frac{t^{p+}}{p^{-}}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}-\frac{2 \lambda c t^{q^{-}}}{q^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} V(x)\left|u_{0}\right|^{q(x)} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields $J_{\lambda}\left(t u_{0}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$, as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ since

$$
0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} V(x)\left|u_{0}\right|^{q(x)} d x \leq 2 c_{2}|V|_{r(x)}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{p(x)}^{q^{+}}
$$

Lemma 3.7. The functional $J_{\lambda}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$, for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$.
Proof. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ be a $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$ sequence for the functional $J_{\lambda}$ in $W$ i.e. $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded and $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Then the sequence $u_{n}$ is bounded in $W$.

Indeed, since $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1} & \geq J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}-\lambda \frac{V(x)}{q(x)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)}\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} F\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}-\lambda \frac{V(x)}{q(x)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)}\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} F\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\lambda \frac{V(x)}{q(x)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)}\right) d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{u_{n}}{\theta} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore

$$
\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}-\lambda V(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n} d x
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1} \geq & \frac{1}{p^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x-\frac{1}{q^{-}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \lambda V(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x+\frac{1}{\theta}\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& -\frac{1}{\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x+\frac{1}{\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \lambda V(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{\theta}-\frac{1}{q^{-}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \lambda V(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x+\frac{1}{\theta}\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Arguing by contradiction, we assume that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is unbounded in $W$. In particular we can choose $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \geq 1$ for $n$ sufficiently large. Hence, there exists $C_{3}>0$ such that

$$
-C_{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p(x)} \leq\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \leq C_{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p(x)}
$$

since $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. To this end,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1} & \geq\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}+\left(\frac{1}{\theta}-\frac{1}{q^{-}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \lambda V(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)} d x-\frac{1}{\theta} C_{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p(x)} \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}-\frac{1}{\theta} C_{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p(x)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies a contradiction.
Hence the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $W$. Thus, there exists a subsequence, again denoted $\left(u_{n}\right)$, weakly convergent to $u$ in $W$. We prove that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is strongly convergent to $u$ in $W$.

To this end, we consider the following equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle= \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\varphi^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle-\left\langle\psi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\psi^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle-\left\langle K^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-K^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle$.
Obviously, the term in the left hand side tends to zero for $n$ large enough. First, we show that $\left\langle K^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-K^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad$ as $\quad n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $B_{R}$ be the ball in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ of radius $R$ centered at the origin and $B_{R}^{\prime}=\mathbb{R}^{N}-B_{R}$. We use well-know compacteness argument in unbounded domains. Roughly speaking, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle K^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-K^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle\right|= & \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(f\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f(x, u)\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x\right| \\
\leq & \int_{B_{R}}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f(x, u)\right|\left|u_{n}-u\right| d x \\
& +\int_{B_{R}^{\prime}}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f(x, u)\right|\left|u_{n}-u\right| d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account Proposition 2.7 togheter with the compact embedding $W^{1, p(x)}\left(B_{R}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $L^{p(x)}\left(B_{R}\right)$, the first term in the right hand side of the above inequality vanishes as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Contrariwise, the second term vanishes as $R \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, we have

$$
\int_{B_{R}}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f(x, u)\right|\left|u_{n}-u\right| d x \leq 2\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f(x, u)\right|_{\alpha(x)}\left|u_{n}-u\right|_{p(x), B_{R}}
$$

In virtue of (H2) the Nemyckii operator is bounded. Hence, we obtain

$$
\int_{B_{R}}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f(x, u)\right|\left|u_{n}-u\right| d x \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} .
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{B_{R}^{\prime}}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f(x, u)\right|\left|u_{n}-u\right| d x \leq \\
\int_{B_{R}^{\prime}} a(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+a(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-1}|u|+a(x)|u|^{p(x)}+a(x)|u|^{p(x)-1}\left|u_{n}\right| d x \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

for $R$ sufficiently $l \arg e$. Indeed,

$$
\int_{B_{R}^{\prime}} a(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq\left.\left. 2|a|_{\alpha(x)}| | u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\right|_{p(x)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{8}
$$

for $R$ sufficiently $l \arg e$. Using the Young inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{R}^{\prime}} a(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-1}|u| d x & \leq \int_{B_{R}^{\prime}} a(x)\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}+|u|^{p(x)}\right) d x \\
& \leq 2|a|_{\alpha(x)}\left(\left.\left.| | u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\right|_{p(x)}+\left||u|^{p(x)}\right|_{p(x)}\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{8},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $R$ sufficiently $l \arg e$.
In the same way, according to $R$, we show that both the two last terms are less than $\frac{\varepsilon}{8}$.
Similarly, using the same arguments, the following holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\psi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\psi^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \\
& \quad \leq \lambda \int_{B_{R}}\left|V(x)\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)-2} u_{n}-|u|^{q(x)-2} u\right)\right|\left|u_{n}-u\right| d x \\
& \quad+\lambda \int_{B_{R}^{\prime}} V(x)\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)}+|u|^{q(x)-2} u_{n} u+|u|^{q(x)}+\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)-2} u_{n} u\right) d x \\
& \leq \\
& \quad c_{1}\left|V(x)\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)-2} u_{n}-|u|^{q(x)-2} u\right)\right|_{r(x)}\left|u_{n}-u\right|_{q(x)} \\
& \quad+c_{2}|V(x)|_{r(x)}\left(\left.\left.| | u_{n}\right|^{q(x)}\right|_{q(x)}+\left||u|^{q(x)}\right|_{q(x)}\right) \leq \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n, R$ large enough.
It appears from (1.3) that $\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\varphi^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad$ as $\quad n \rightarrow \infty$. Now, with the aid of an elementary inequality in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, we get if $p(x) \geq 2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{2-p^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}| | \nabla u_{n}|-| \nabla u \|^{p(x)} d x & \leq \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n}-|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)\left(\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u\right) d x & \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Otherwise, use the following inequality in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$

$$
(p-1)|\zeta-\eta|^{2}(|\zeta|+|\eta|)^{p-2} \leq\left(|\zeta|^{p-2} \zeta-|\eta|^{p-2} \eta\right)(\zeta-\eta) \text { if } 1<p<2
$$

and consider the following sets

$$
U_{p}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, p(x) \geq 2\right\} ; \quad V_{p}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, 1<p(x)<2\right\}
$$

Proof [Proof of theorem 3.3]. Set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma=\{\gamma \in C([0,1], W): \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=e\} \\
c:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma \max _{t \in[0,1]} J_{\lambda}(\gamma(t)) .} .
\end{gathered}
$$

According to lemma 3.5 and lemma 3.6, the energy functional $J_{\lambda}$ satisfies the geometrical conditions of the Mountain pass theorem. Hence $c$ is a critical value of $J_{\lambda}$ associated with a critical point $u \in W$, which is precisely one solution of (1.1). The proof is complete.
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